

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND OF
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2017**

San Diego

Los Angeles

**San Francisco
Bay Area**

christywhite
A PROFESSIONAL
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION *associates*

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
TABLE OF CONTENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017**

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Member Listing.....	1
Independent Auditors' Report.....	2

FINANCIAL SECTION

Balance Sheet.....	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	13
Independent Auditors' Report on Performance.....	15
Audit Procedures and Results	17

FINDINGS AND RESPONSES SECTION

Schedule of Findings and Responses	19
--	----

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
INTRODUCTION AND CITIZENS' BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBER
LISTING
JUNE 30, 2017**

Princeton Joint Unified School District is located in Colusa County, California serving students from both Colusa and Glenn County. The District was established in 1909 and is currently operating one elementary school and one junior/senior high school.

On June 3, 2014, voters passed Measure S, authorizing the issuance of \$2,750,000 of general obligation bonds to improve, renovate, construct and update school facilities and technology. The funds were deposited into the Glenn County Treasury, and all Measure S funds are accounted for in the Building Fund of the District's general ledger.

The summarized text of the ballot language was as follows:

"To renovate and modernize outdated classrooms, restrooms, science labs and school facilities, upgrade out-of-date plumbing, sewer, electrical, heating and air-conditioning systems, update classroom learning technology and improve school safety and accessibility, shall Princeton Joint Unified School District issue \$2,750,000 of bonds at legal rates, to acquire, repair and equip classrooms, sites, facilities, with mandatory independent financial audits, citizens' oversight, all money staying local and no funds taken by the State or used for employee salaries"

Measure S was a Proposition bond. The passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000 amended the California Constitution to include accountability measures.

Upon passage of Proposition 39, an accompanying piece of legislation, AB 1908 (Chapter 44, Statutes of 2000), was also enacted, which amended the Education Code to establish additional procedures which must be followed if a District seeks approval of a bond measure pursuant to the 55% majority authorized in Measure S including formation, composition and purpose of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, and authorization for injunctive relief against the improper expenditure of bond revenues.

As of June 30, 2017, the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee was comprised of the following members:

Donald Perez, President
Joe Silva, Member
Patti Angus, Member
Luke Reamer, Member

The Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee is actively seeking qualified candidates to fill the remaining vacancies.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Measure S Citizens' Oversight Committee and
Governing Board Members of Princeton Joint Unified School District
Princeton, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund of Princeton Joint Unified School District (the "District") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

SAN DIEGO

LOS ANGELES

SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office:

348 Olive Street
San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229

tel: 619.270.8222

fax: 619.260.9085

www.christywhite.com

Licensed by the California

State Board of Accountancy

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Measure S Bond Building Fund as of June 30, 2017 and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1A, the financial statements present only Measure S Bond Building Fund and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the Princeton Joint Unified School District, as of June 30, 2017, the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 2, 2018, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters specific to the Measure S Bond Building Fund. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as incorporated in California Constitution Article 13A, we have issued our performance audit dated March 2, 2018, on our consideration of the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 as it directly relates to the Measure S Bond Building Fund. That report is an integral part of our audit of the Measure S Bond Building Fund of Princeton Joint Unified School District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and should be considered in assessing the results of our financial audit.



San Diego, California
March 2, 2018

FINANCIAL SECTION

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Balance Sheet
June 30, 2017**

ASSETS

Cash in county treasury	\$	300,073
Accounts receivable		1,001
Total Assets	\$	<u>301,074</u>

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE

FUND BALANCE

Restricted for Measure S Projects	\$	<u>301,074</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$	<u>301,074</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
 PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
 For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

REVENUES	
Interest	\$ 1,673
Other local income	33
	<hr/>
Total Revenues	1,706
	<hr/>
EXPENDITURES	
Facilities acquisition and construction	8,611
	<hr/>
Total Expenditures	8,611
	<hr/>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	(6,905)
	<hr/>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	
Interfund transfer-in	124,153
	<hr/>
Total Other Financing Sources	124,153
	<hr/>
Net Change in Fund Balance	117,248
	<hr/>
Fund Balance, July 1, 2016	183,826
	<hr/>
Fund Balance, June 30, 2017	\$ 301,074
	<hr/>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

The Princeton Joint Unified School District (the “District”) was founded in 1909. This District operates under a locally elected Board form of government and provides educational services to grades K – 12 in an area in Colusa and Glenn County as mandated by the state and federal agencies. The District operates one elementary school and one junior/senior high school

On June 3, 2014, the voters of the District approved by 72% vote Measure S, authorizing the issuance of \$2,750,000 of general obligation bonds to improve, renovate, construct, and update school facilities and technology. Because the Bond required only 55% of the vote in accordance with Proposition 39 (Article XIII of the California State Constitution), the District was required to establish a citizens’ bond oversight committee and to conduct a financial and performance audit.

The Committee’s oversight goals include ensuring expenditures are within the language of the ballot measure and advising the District’s Governing Board on various projects. The citizens’ oversight committee must include, among others, representation of a bona fide taxpayers association, a business organization, and a senior citizens organization. No District employees or vendors are allowed to serve on the citizens’ oversight committee.

The fund financial statements are for the Measure S Bond Building Fund. Since this is just one component of the district, these financial statements are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District’s financial position or results of operations. Accordingly, these fund statements do not include a government wide financial statement, management discussion and analysis or related organizational disclosures. The audited financial statements of Princeton Joint Unified School District include the Measure S Bond Building Fund activities, related debt and disclosures as well as the management discussion and analysis

An advisory committee to the District’s Governing Board and Superintendent, the Measure S Citizens’ Oversight Committee (“COC”) was established pursuant to the requirements of state law and the provisions of Measure S. The purpose of the COC is to inform the public concerning the use of bond proceeds. The COC is required by state law to actively review and report on the proper expenditure of taxpayers’ money for school construction. The COC provides oversight and advises the public as to whether the District is spending the Measure S Bond funds for school capital improvements within the scope of projects outlined in the Measure S Project List. In fulfilling its duties, the COC reviews, among other things, the District’s annual performance and financial audits of Measure S activity.

The statements presented are for the individual Measure S General Obligations Bond of the District, consisting of the net construction proceeds of Measure S Series A general obligation bonds as issued by the District, through the County of Glenn, and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District’s financial position or results of operations.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity (continued)

The accounts of the District are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered to be a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. District resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

B. Accounting Policies

The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA").

C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid.

E. Deposits and Investments

In accordance with Education Code Sections 15357 and 41001, the Measure S bond building fund maintains its cash in the Glenn County Treasury. The county pools these funds with those of other districts in the county and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost, which approximates market value. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 1 – SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

F. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's Governing Board must adopt a budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's Governing Board satisfied these requirements.

These budgets are revised by the District's Governing Board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

These budgets are revised by the District's Governing Board during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures. Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

G. Fund Balance

Under GASB Statement No. 54, fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the governmental funds. The fund balance in Measure S Bond Building Fund is considered restricted.

The restricted fund balance classification reflects amounts subject to externally imposed and legally enforceable constraints. Such constraints may be imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or may be imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Summary of Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2017 consist of \$300,073 held in the county treasury.

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations. Investments of debt proceeds held by trustees are governed by the provisions of debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities up to 30 years.

Cash in County Treasury – The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

General Authorizations

Except for investments by trustees of debt proceeds, the authority to invest District funds deposited with the county treasury is delegated to the County Treasurer and Tax Collector. The table below identifies examples of the investment types permitted in the investment policy:

<u>Authorized Investment Type</u>	<u>Maximum Remaining Maturity</u>	<u>Maximum Percentage of Portfolio</u>	<u>Maximum Investment in One Issuer</u>
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are described below:

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Treasury. The District's Measure S Building Fund maintains an investment with the Glenn County Investment Pool with an amortized book value of \$300,073 which approximates fair value.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond the amount stipulated by the California Government Code. District investments that are greater than 5 percent of total investments are in either an external investment pool or mutual funds and are therefore exempt.

Fair Value

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonable available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Glenn County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

The District's fair value measurements at June 30, 2017 were uncategorized for the cash in county treasury and total \$300,073.

NOTE 3 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts Receivable balance as of June 30, 2017 was \$1,001 from other local sources.

NOTE 4 – INTERFUND ACTIVITIES

Interfund Receivables/Payables (Due From/DueTo)

The Measure s Building Fund owed the General Fund \$124,153 which was transferred from Prop 39 funding to reimburse RS 9010 for the cost of the Elementary School HVAC upgrade completed in 2015.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Notes to the Financial Statements, continued
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

NOTE 5 – MEASURE S GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

On December 17, 2014, the District issued Election of 2014 General Obligation Bonds, Series A in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,750,000 for the purpose improving, renovating, construction, and updating District school facilities and technology. The bonds are payable from the proceeds of *ad valorem* property taxes which the Board of Supervisors of the Glenn County are obligated to levy and collect on all taxable property in the District for the payment of the accreted value or conversion value of and interest on the bonds. The bonds carry interest rates ranging from 2.0% to 3.25% and mature on August 1, 2043.

The bonded debt is paid through the *ad valorem* property taxes through the Glenn County and the debt liability is carried on the Princeton Joint Unified District’s Government Wide financial statements.

The District’s General Obligation Bonds represent general obligations payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes. The general obligation debt for the 2014 Series A bonds at June 30, 2017, was as follows:

Series	Issue Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Bonds			Bonds
					Outstanding July 01, 2016	Additions	Deductions	Outstanding June 30, 2017
Series A	8/1/2015	8/1/2043	2.00% - 3.25 %	\$ 2,750,000	\$ 2,750,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,750,000

The 2014 Series A general obligation bonds outstanding were as follows:

Series A Bonds			
Year Ended June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2018	\$ -	\$ 111,106	\$ 111,106
2019	-	111,106	111,106
2020	10,000	111,006	121,006
2021	15,000	110,756	125,756
2022	20,000	110,606	130,606
2023-2027	205,000	543,606	748,606
2028-2032	385,000	506,294	891,294
2033-2037	625,000	430,813	1,055,813
2038-2042	965,000	290,063	1,255,063
2043-2044	525,000	42,000	567,000
Total	\$ 2,750,000	\$ 2,367,356	\$ 5,117,356

NOTE 6 – EXPENDITURES BY SITE

The following table presents the expenditure amounts by site for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017:

Site	Amount
Princeton Elementary	\$ 5,382
Princeton Junior/Senior High	3,229
Total Expenditures	\$ 8,611

NOTE 7 – CONSTRUCTION COMMITMENTS

The District had no outstanding construction commitments as of June 30, 2017.

**OTHER INDEPENDENT
AUDITORS' REPORTS**

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

Independent Auditors' Report

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

Measure S Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and
Governing Board Members of Princeton Joint Unified School District
Princeton, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund of Princeton Joint Unified School District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Princeton Joint Unified School District's basic financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund, and have issued our report thereon dated March 2, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Princeton Joint Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Princeton Joint Unified School District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Princeton Joint Unified School District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

SAN DIEGO
LOS ANGELES
SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office:
348 Olive Street
San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229
tel: 619.270.8222
fax: 619.260.9085
www.christywhite.com

*Licensed by the California
State Board of Accountancy*

Internal Control over Financial Reporting (continued)

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Princeton Joint Unified School District's financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Christy White Associates

San Diego, California
March 2, 2018

REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Independent Auditors' Report

Measure S Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and
Governing Board Members of Princeton Joint Unified School District
Princeton, California

Report on Performance

We have audited Princeton Joint Unified School District's compliance with the performance audit procedures described in the *2016-17 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, prescribed in Title 5, *California Code of Regulations*, section 19810, that could have a direct and material effect on Princeton Joint Unified School District's Measure S Bond Building Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to local school construction bonds.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance of Princeton Joint Unified School District's Measure S Bond Building Fund based on our performance audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our performance audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the *2016-17 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, prescribed in Title 5, *California Code of Regulations*, section 19810. Those standards require that we plan and perform the performance audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the local school construction bonds noted on the following page occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Princeton Joint Unified School District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our performance audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the requirements referred to above. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Princeton Joint Unified School District's compliance with those requirements.

Christy White, CPA

Michael D. Ash, CPA

John Whitehouse, CPA

Heather Daud Rubio

SAN DIEGO

LOS ANGELES

SAN FRANCISCO/BAY AREA

Corporate Office:

348 Olive Street
San Diego, CA 92103

toll-free: 877.220.7229

tel: 619.270.8222

fax: 619.260.9085

www.christywhite.com

Licensed by the California

State Board of Accountancy

Opinion on Performance

In our opinion, Princeton Joint Unified School District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the Measure S Bond Building Fund noted below for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Procedures Performed

In connection with the performance audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine Princeton Joint Unified School District's compliance with the state laws and regulations applicable to the Financial Audit Procedures and Performance Audit Procedures over the Measure S Bond Building Fund. Additional agreed upon procedures relating the Measure S Bond Building Fund may also be included.

The results of the procedures performed and the related results are further described in the accompanying performance audit results following this Report on Performance.

Christy White Associates

San Diego, California
March 2, 2018

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Audit Procedures and Results
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017**

SECTION I – FINANCIAL AUDIT PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Financial Statements

As mentioned in the Report on Financial Statements, we have issued an unmodified opinion over the financial statements of the Measure S Bond Building Fund as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

Internal Control Evaluation

We tested internal controls over financial reporting and compliance with laws, regulations, or provisions of contracts or agreements and have determined through our inquiry of management and our evaluation of District processes that no significant deficiencies were noted.

SECTION II – PERFORMANCE AUDIT PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Facility Project Expenditures

We selected a representative sample of expenditures charged to the Measure S Bond Building Fund and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure funds were properly expended on specific project(s) listed in the text of the Measure S ballot.

We tested \$6,802 of non-personnel expenditures or 79% of total 2016-17 Measure S Bond Building Fund expenditures for validity, allowability and accuracy and concluded that the sampled expenditures were in compliance with the terms of Measure S ballot language, the District approved facilities plan, and applicable state laws and regulations.

Personnel Expenditures

Based on review of expenditure information, we noted that there were no salaries or benefits charged to the Measure S Bond Building Fund during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Audit procedures over personnel expenditures was not applicable.

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Audit Procedures and Results, continued
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017**

SECTION II – PERFORMANCE AUDIT PROCEDURES AND RESULTS (continued)

Contract and Bid Procedures

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, we made inquiries regarding any new projects going out to bid. We found that the District did not have any contracts go out to bid in the 2016-17 fiscal year. In addition, there were no change orders in the 2016-17 fiscal year.

Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee (CBOC)

In accordance with Assembly Bill (AB) 1908 and Education Code (Ed Code) Section 15278, the District is required to establish a bond oversight committee for Proposition 39 bonds to include one active member from each of the following sectors: a business organization, senior citizens organization, bona fide taxpayers' association, parent/guardian of a child enrolled in the District and a parent/guardian of a child enrolled in the District who is also a member of a parent teacher association. Additionally, no employee or official of the District shall be appointed to the citizens' bond oversight committee.

We found that the District successfully compiled a CBOC with an active member from each of the required representations. No members of the CBOC are also an employee, official, vendor or consultant of the District. Refer to the Introduction and CBOC Member Listing for a listing of current members as of June 30, 2017.

**FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
SECTION**

**MEASURE S BOND BUILDING FUND
 PRINCETON JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
 Schedule of Findings and Recommendations
 For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017**

PART I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS’ RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	<u>Unmodified</u>
Type of auditors’ report issued	
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	<u>No</u>
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	<u>None Reported</u>
Non-compliance material to the financial statements?	<u>No</u>
 PERFORMANCE AUDIT	
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weakness(es) identified?	<u>No</u>
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	<u>None Reported</u>
Type of auditor’s report issued on performance for local school construction bonds:	<u>Unmodified</u>

PART II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

There were no findings related to the financial statements in June 30, 2017.

PART III – PERFORMANCE AUDIT FINDINGS

There were no findings or recommendations related to local school construction bonds in June 30, 2017.

PART IV – PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

There were no findings and recommendations during the year ended in June 30, 2016.